BQP-completeness of Scattering in Quantum Field Theory

Stephen Jordan

Joint work with: Keith Lee John Preskill Hari Krovi

[ArXiv:1703.00454]







QFT Seems Hard Classically

Feynman diagrams

Lattice methods





Break down at strong coupling or high precision

Cannot calculate scattering amplitudes

For some parameters we have no poly-time classical algorithm.

A QFT Computational Problem

Input: a list of momenta of incoming particles.

Output: a list of momenta of outgoing particles.







Quantum Algorithms

• Efficient quantum simulation algorithms:

_	Bosonic	Fermionic
Massive	Jordan, Lee, Preskill <i>Science</i> , 336:1130 (2012)	Jordan, Lee, Preskill <i>ArXiv:1404.7115</i> (2014)
Massless	TODO	TODO

• Analog simulations:



How hard is it and when?

- Things that might make QFT hard:
 - Fermionic sign problem
 - Fermion doubling problem
 - Strong coupling
 - High-dimensional spacetime (D=4)
 - Massless particles
 - "Critical slowing down" at quantum phase transitions
 - Large # particles

How hard is it and when?

Things that might make QFT hard:

- Fermionic sign problem
- Fermion doubling problem
- Strong coupling
- High-dimensional spacetime (D=4)
- Massless particles
- "Critical slowing down" at quantum phase transitions
- Large # particles

Our result: Large # particles is all it takes to obtain hard problems, provided we allow external fields.

Quantum Fields



A classical field is described by its value at every point in space.

$$E(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q}{r^2}$$

A quantum field is a superposition of classical field configurations.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}[E]\Psi[E] |E\rangle$$

Particles Emerge from Fields









Particles of different energy are different resonant excitations of the field.

Phi-Fourth Theory: Constructively

• Make a lattice of anharmonic oscillators:

$$[\phi_j, \pi_k] = \frac{i}{a} \delta_{jk} \mathbb{1}$$
$$H = \sum_j a \left[\frac{1}{2} \pi_j^2 + \frac{m_0}{2} \phi_j^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_{j+1} - \phi_j}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{4!} \phi_j^4 \right]$$

• Take limit of $a \rightarrow 0$



What do field theorists want to calculate?

- Scattering amplitudes:



Correlation functions:

 $\langle \operatorname{vac} | \phi(x) \phi(y) | \operatorname{vac} \rangle$

- Partition function:

$$Z[J] = \langle \operatorname{vac}|T\left\{\exp\left[-i\int d^{D}x\left(H + J(x)\phi(x)\right)\right]\right\}|\operatorname{vac}\rangle$$

$$Z[J] = \langle \operatorname{vac}|T\left\{\exp\left[-i\int d^{D}x\left(H + J(x)\phi(x)\right)\right]\right\}|\operatorname{vac}\rangle$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)}Z = \langle \phi(x) \rangle$$

•

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(y)} Z = \langle \phi(x)\phi(y) \rangle$$

Partition functions yield correlation functions.

LSZ Formula

$$\begin{split} \prod_{1}^{n} \int d^{4}x_{i} e^{ip_{i} \cdot x_{i}} \prod_{1}^{m} \int d^{4}y_{j} e^{-ik_{j} \cdot y_{j}} \left\langle \Omega \right| T \left\{ \phi(x_{1}) \cdots \phi(x_{n}) \phi(y_{1}) \cdots \phi(y_{m}) \right\} \left| \Omega \right\rangle \\ & \underset{\text{each } p_{i}^{0} \to +E_{\mathbf{p}_{i}}}{\sim} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{Z} i}{p_{i}^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\sqrt{Z} i}{k_{j}^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon} \right) \left\langle \mathbf{p}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{p}_{n} \right| S \left| \mathbf{k}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{k}_{m} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Correlation functions yield scattering amplitudes.



 $\langle \operatorname{vac} | \phi(x) \phi(y) | \operatorname{vac} \rangle$



Z[J] also directly answers a physics question:

If we apply external field J(x,t), what is the amplitude to remain in vacuum state?



Our Reduction



Non-Relativistic Limit

 $H[J_2] = H_{\phi^4} + \int d^d x J_2(x) \phi(x)^2$

Low energy, Fixed particle #

$$H_{\rm NR} = \sum_{j} \left(-\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{m} J_2(x_j) \right) + O(\lambda)$$

Double-Well Qubits









Our First Idea



 $|00\rangle$ $|00\rangle$ \mapsto $\begin{array}{cccc} |01\rangle & \mapsto & |01\rangle \\ |10\rangle & \mapsto & e^{-i\theta} |10\rangle \end{array}$ $|11\rangle$ \mapsto $|11\rangle$



There is no regime in phi-fourth theory in which the tunneling between wells is negligible but the interparticle attraction is nonnegligible.

Solution

• Implement unitaries on full space.

$$\binom{4}{2}$$
 -dimensional

• As a special case obtain:



Vacuum to Vacuum

- With the preceding method we can simulate an arbitrary quantum circuit.
- There's no error correction: each of the G gates has to be implemented with error ~1/G
- However, we started with a very special state:



• How do we start with vacuum?

Creating Particles: Rabi Oscillation

$$H = H_{\phi^4} + g\sin(\omega t) \int dx \ h(x)\phi(x)$$



A Difficulty

- We don't have error correction, so we need each of the n qubits to be prepared with error ~1/n
- In Rabi oscillation method this means:

- We need
$$\omega = m \pm O(1/n)$$

- We need
$$\langle 1|g \int dx \ h(x)\phi(x)|vac \rangle = \frac{\pi}{2} \pm O(1/n)$$

• This is not so easy!

Adiabatic Passage to the Rescue

- Sweep the driving frequency from below resonance to above resonance.
- In the limit that you sweep slowly and drive weakly, this errors provably converge to zero.
- Thanks, experimentalists!



[Photo: Udem group]

Conclusion

- Already a pretty simple problem in QFT is BQPhard.
- One spatial dimension.
- Only massive Bosons.
- Weakly Coupled.
- Spacetime-varying source term.
- How about translationally invariant, or Lorentzinvariant systems?

Conclusion

- Already a pretty simple problem in QFT is BQPhard.
- One spatial dimension.
- Only massive Bosons.
- Weakly Coupled.
- Spacetime-varying source term.
- How about translationally invariant, or Lorentzinvariant systems?

Thanks!