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Quantum computers threaten classical cryptography. With a quantum computer, an attacker would be able to break all schemes based on the hardness of factoring, or on the hardness of discrete logarithms [8]. This would affect most public key encryption and signature schemes in use today. For symmetric ciphers and hash functions, longer key and output lengths will be required due to considerable improvements in brute force attacks [5,3]. These threats lead to the question: how can classical cryptography be made secure against quantum attacks? Much research has been done towards cryptographic schemes based on hardness assumptions not known to be vulnerable to quantum computers, e.g., lattice-based cryptography. (This is called post-quantum cryptography.) Yet, identifying useful quantum-hard assumptions is only half of the problem. Even if the underlying assumption holds against quantum attackers, for many classically secure protocols it is not clear if they also resist quantum attacks: the proof techniques used in the classical setting often cannot be applied in the quantum world.

An example of such a protocol is the popular Fiat-Shamir transform [4], a transformation that takes a so-called sigma-protocol (i.e., a simple kind of zero-knowledge proof) and transforms it into a highly efficient non-interactive zero-knowledge proof. This in turn also yields a highly efficient signature scheme. In the classical setting, the security of Fiat-Shamir is well-studied; the “forking lemma” [7] allows us to deal with the interaction of rewinding and random oracles in the proof. Unfortunately, both rewinding and random oracles are notoriously difficult in the quantum setting. Rewinding, when done naively, contradicts the no-cloning theorem (but in some cases it can be done [11,9]), and the random oracle can be queried in superposition [2], moving the proofs into the realm of quantum query complexity.

Indeed, [1] shows that the Fiat-Shamir transform is insecure in general.

This is unfortunate, since no other general construction of comparable efficiency is known. (The construction from [10] is a quantum secure non-interactive zero-knowledge proof from any sigma-protocol, but it is much less efficient.) In this work, we set out to save Fiat-Shamir. In [9], an extra condition on sigma-protocols was introduced, “strict soundness” and shown to circumvent the impossibility results from [1] for interactive protocols. (Roughly, strict soundness means that the third message is determined by the first two.) We give evidence that the same holds for Fiat-Shamir. In particular, our contributions are:

– We formalize the security notions achieved by Fiat-Shamir in the quantum case. (Zero-knowledge and simulation-sound extractability.) These turn out to be non-trivial, because a one-to-one translation of the classical definitions leads to trivially unachievable definitions.
– We prove: If the “quantum forking lemma” (see below) holds, then Fiat-Shamir is secure (zero-knowledge and simulation-sound extractable; assuming strict soundness of the underlying sigma-protocol).
– And we show that these security notions imply the existence of signatures, like in the classical case.

What is the (conjectured) quantum forking lemma? Roughly, it states:

1 This refers to a proof technique where the state of the adversary is stored and reproduced later.
2 Relative to some oracle.
Conjecture (Quantum forking lemma – informal) Let $M^H$ be a projective measurement that can be implemented by a quantum circuit making polynomially many queries to a random function $H$. Measure some quantum system $X$ using $M^H$, this leads an outcome $x$. Change the function $H$ at input $x$: $H(x) := \text{random}$. Measure the quantum system $X$ again using $M^H$ (but with the changed $H$), this leads an outcome $x'$. Then $x = x'$ with non-negligible probability.

Intuitively this means: A projective measurement cannot find an input $x$ for $H$ so that the post-measurement state encodes much information about $H(x)$.

Summarizing, we have reduced the security of Fiat-Shamir to a self-contained query complexity question. Proving the quantum forking lemma will show the security of Fiat-Shamir.
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Formally, if $x$ is the outcome of the measurement $M^H$, the max-entropy $\mathfrak{H}$ of $H(x)$ is superlogarithmic given $x$, $X$, and all values $H(x')$ except for $H(x)$.